IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

)
) Case No.:
) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF) CIVIL RIGHTS AND STATE
) SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIMS
)
) JURY DEMANDED
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the United States Constitution and the Civil Rights Act of 1871 (42 U.S.C. Section 1983). This court has jurisdiction under and by virtue of 28 U.S.C. Sections 1343, 1331 and 1367.
- 2. Venue is founded in this judicial court upon 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 as the acts complained of arose in this district.

PARTIES

3. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff Derrick Crayton ("Plaintiff") was and is a citizen of the United States, and was within the jurisdiction of this court.

- 4. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Cook County Correctional Officer Graffeo ("Graffeo") was employed by Cook County, and was acting under color of state law and as the employee, agent, or representative of Cook County. This Defendant is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 5. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Cook County Correctional Officer Hernandez ("Hernandez") was employed by Cook County, and was acting under color of state law and as the employee, agent, or representative of Cook County. This Defendant is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 6. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Cook County Correctional Officer Salamone ("Salamone") was employed by Cook County, and was acting under color of state law and as the employee, agent, or representative of Cook County. This Defendant is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 7. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Cook County Sergeant Irechatta ("Irechatta") was employed by Cook County, and was acting under color of state law and as the employee, agent, or representative of Cook County. This Defendant is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 8. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant Cook County Sergeant Rodriguez ("Rodriguez") was employed by Cook County, and was acting under color of state law and as the employee, agent, or representative of Cook County. This Defendant is being sued in his individual capacity.
- 9. At all times herein mentioned, an unknown number of unnamed Cook County Correctional Officers ("Unknown Officers") were employed by Cook County, and were acting under color of state law and as the employees, agents or representatives of the Cook County

Correctional Department. These Defendants are being sued in their individual capacities. Upon discovery of their identities, plaintiff will amend the complaint to add them as defendants.

(Defendants Graffeo, Hernandez, Salamone, Irechetta, Rodriguez, and Unknown Officers are referred to herein as the "Individual Defendants")

- 10. At all times herein mentioned, COOK COUNTY SHERIFF THOMAS DART ("DART") was elected Sheriff of Cook County, and was responsible for the day to day operations of the Cook County Department of Corrections. Dart is being sued in his official capacity as the Cook County Sheriff, under the laws of the State of Illinois, and the United States.
- 11. At all times herein mentioned, Cook County is empowered and directed to pay any judgment for compensatory damages (and associated attorneys' fees and costs) for which any Cook County employee acting within the scope of his/her employment is found liable. Accordingly, Cook County is an indemnification party pursuant to Count Two of this Complaint.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. On or about May 7, 2012, Plaintiff was a prisoner incarcerated in Cook County Jail, Division 10, located at 2600 South California Avenue, in the City of Chicago, Cook County, State of Illinois.
- 13. On that day and place, Defendants Graffeo, Hernandez, Irechatta, Rodriguez and Unknown Officers used force against Plaintiff.
- 14. There was no legal cause for Defendants Graffeo, Hernandez, Irechatta, Rodriguez and Unknown Officers to use force against Plaintiff.

- 15. After Defendants Graffeo, Hernandez, Irechatta, Rodriguez and Unknown Officers used force upon the Plaintiff, Plaintiff sought medical treatment at Cook County jail. Plaintiff received staples in his head for his injuries, amongst other medical treatment.
- 16. After receiving medical treatment on May 7, 2012, Plaintiff encountered Defendants Salamone, Irechatta, Rodriguez, and Unknown Officers near the bullpen.
- 17. Defendants Salamone, Irechatta, Rodriguez, and Unknown Officers placed Plaintiff in handcuffs near the bullpen and proceeded to use force against Plaintiff.
- 18. There was no legal cause for Defendants Salamone, Irechatta, Rodriguez, and Unknown Officers to use force against Plaintiff.
- 19. As a result of the Defendants Salamone, Irechatta, Rodriguez, and Unknown Officers to use force against Plaintiff, Plaintiff's staples came out of his head and he had to seek further medical treatment.
- 20. By reason of the above-described acts and omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff sustained injuries, including but not limited to, humiliation and indignities, and suffered great mental and emotional pain and suffering all in an amount to be ascertained.
- 21. The aforementioned acts of defendants were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive and done with reckless indifference to and/or callous disregard for Plaintiff's rights and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages in an amount to be ascertained according to proof at the time of trial.
- 22. By reason of the above-described acts and omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff was required to retain an attorney to institute, prosecute and render legal assistance to him in the within action so that he might vindicate the loss and impairment of his rights. By reason thereof, Plaintiff

requests payment by Defendants of a reasonable sum for attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988, the Equal Access to Justice Act or any other provision set by law.

COUNT I Plaintiff Against the Individual Defendants for EXCESSIVE FORCE

- 23. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges paragraphs one (1) through twenty-two (22) hereat as though fully set forth at this place.
 - 24. The Defendants used excessive force against Plaintiff's person.
 - 25. There was no legal cause for Defendants to use force against Plaintiff.
- 26. By reason of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff was deprived of rights, privileges and immunities secured to him by the Fourth and/or Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and laws enacted thereunder.
- 27. The physical violence inflicted upon Plaintiff by was unnecessary, unreasonable, and excessive, and was therefore in violation of Plaintiff's Fourth and/or Fourteenth Amendment Rights. Therefore, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

COUNT II Plaintiff against Defendant Cook County for INDEMNIFICATION

- 28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs one (1) through twenty-two (22) as though fully alleged at this place.
- 29. Pursuant to 745 ILCS 10/9 102, 55 ILCS 5/4 6003, and 55 ILCS 5/5 1106, Cook County is empowered and directed to pay any judgment for compensatory damages (and any associated attorneys' fees and costs) for which an independently elected Cook County officer, such as the Cook County Sheriff and its deputies, acting within the scope of his/her employment is found liable.

- 30. The acts and/or omissions of the Defendants were committed within the scope of their employment.
- 31. In the event that a judgment for compensatory damages is entered against the Defendants, Cook County must pay the judgment as well as the associated attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT III

PLAINTIFF AGAINST GRAFFEO, HERNANDEZ, SALAMONE, IRECHATTA, RODRIGUEZ, UNKNOWN AND UNNAMED OFFICERS, AND SHERIFF DART FOR THE SUPPLEMENTAL STATE LAW CLAIMS OF ASSAULT, BATTERY, AND WILLFUL AND WANTON CONDUCT

- 32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs one (1) through twenty-two (22) hereat as though fully alleged at this place.
- 33. On May 7, 2012 the Defendants owed a duty of care to Plaintiff to exercise reasonable care in their interaction with Plaintiff.
- 34. In disregard of this duty, the Defendants acted intentionally, and willfully and wantonly in doing the following:
 - a) assaulting plaintiff;
 - b) battering plaintiff; and
 - c) intentionally causing the Plaintiff to suffer emotional distress.
- 35. Dart, pursuant to the principle of *respondeat superior*, is responsible for the wrongful conduct of the Individual Defendants as alleged above.
 - 36. All of the above was done without legal cause.
 - 37. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff was injured as set forth above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, ED FOX & ASSOCIATES, requests judgment as follows against the Defendants, and each of them:

- 1. That the Defendants be required to pay Plaintiff's general damages, including emotional distress, in a sum to be ascertained;
- 2. That the Defendants be required to pay Plaintiff's special damages;
- 3. That the Defendants other than the City of Chicago be required to pay Plaintiff's attorneys fees pursuant to Section 1988 of Title 42 of the United States Code, the Equal Access to Justice Act or any other applicable provision;
- 4. That the Defendants other than the City of Chicago be required to pay punitive and exemplary damages in a sum to be ascertained;
- 5. That the Defendants be required to pay Plaintiff's costs of the suit herein incurred; and
- 6. That Plaintiff have such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

BY: s/ Jonathan R. Ksiazek
Jonathan R. Ksiazek
ED FOX & ASSOCIATES
Attorneys for Plaintiff
300 West Adams
Suite 330
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 345-8877
iksiazek@efox-law.com

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

s/ Jonathan R. Ksiazek BY:

Jonathan R. Ksiazek

ED FOX & ASSOCIATES

Attorneys for Plaintiff 300 West Adams

Suite 330

Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 345-8877

jksiazek@efox-law.com